

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**MARK MUCHMORE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**CIV 11-1100 KBM**

**CAROLYN W. COLVIN,  
Acting Commissioner of the  
Social Security Administration,**

**Defendant.**

**ORDER GRANTING § 406(b) ATTORNEY FEES**

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for an award of \$7,450.00 in attorney fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1). *Doc. 31.* Defendant declined to take a position with regard to the reasonableness of the requested award. *Doc. 33.* Being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that Plaintiff's Motion is well-taken and should be granted.

Plaintiff instituted an action in this Court seeking judicial review of Defendant's denial of his application for Social Security disability benefits. Then-presiding Magistrate Judge W. Daniel Schneider reversed the decision of the Commissioner and remanded for a new hearing and awarded EAJA fees in the amount of \$2,611.90 and costs in the amount of \$350.00. *See Docs. 25 & 30.* Following this Court's remand, the Social Security Administration found Plaintiff to be disabled and awarded benefits total back benefits in the amount of \$69,910.00, but withheld twenty-five percent of those benefits, \$17,233.00, in the event that Plaintiff's counsel were to bring a claim for attorney fees pursuant to the retainer agreement. The Martone Law Firm, P.A. now seeks authorization from this Court for an award of compensation for legal

services in an amount significantly less than that withheld.

When a court renders a judgment favorable to a Social Security claimant who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may allow “a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled.” 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A). Unlike EAJA fees, which are paid in addition to past-due benefits, § 406(b) fees are paid out of past-due benefits. *Wrenn ex rel. Wrenn v. Astrue*, 525 F.3d 931, 933-34 (10th Cir. 2008). If fees are awarded under both EAJA and § 406(b), the attorney must refund the lesser award to the claimant. *Id.* at 934. The court may award fees under § 406(b) when “the court remands a...case for further proceedings and the Commissioner ultimately determines that the claimant is entitled to an award of past-due benefits.” *McGraw v. Barnhart*, 450 F.3d 493-496 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006).

Although § 406(b) does not prohibit contingency fee agreements, it renders them unenforceable to the extent that they provide for fees exceeding 25% of the past-due benefits. *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 798, 807 (2002). Section 406(b) also requires the court to act as “an independent check” to ensure that fees are reasonable even if they are less than 25% of the past-due benefits because there is no presumption that 25% is reasonable. *Id.* at 807 n. 17. Counsel has the burden of demonstrating the reasonableness of the fees. *Id.* at 807. The reasonableness determination is “based on the character of the representation and the results the representative achieved.” *Id.* at 808. Factors relevant to the reasonableness of the fee request include: (1) whether the attorney’s representation was substandard; (2) whether the attorney was responsible for any delay in resolution of the case; and (3) whether the contingency fee is disproportionately large in comparison to the amount of time spent on the case. *Id.* A court may require the claimant’s attorney to submit a record of the hours spent representing the claimant

and a statement of the lawyer's normal billing rate for non-contingency fee cases. *Id.* The statute does not specify a deadline for requesting fees. 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). The Tenth Circuit, however, has held that a request "should be filed within a reasonable time of the Commissioner's decision awarding benefits." *McGraw*, 450 F.3d at 505.

In this case, the Court finds that the Martone Law Firm's representation of Plaintiff was more than adequate, and it obtained a fully favorable decision. The law firm did not delay the proceedings before this Court. The instant Motion was filed within a reasonable time after Plaintiff received notice of entitlement to past-due benefits. The Court further finds that the requested fees are significantly below the 25% permitted by the retainer agreement and proportionate given the amount of time (14.9 hours) spent on the case. The requested attorney fees would result in an hourly fee of \$500.00, which is in line with other fee awards authorized in this District under 406(b). *See e.g., Marquez v. Astrue*, CIV 10-1165 CG (Doc. 30) (awarding \$10,105 for 18.9 hours, or \$529.00 per hour); *Dimas v. Astrue*, CIV 03-1157 RHS (Doc. 34) (awarding \$17,000 for 38.26 hours or \$444.23 per hour). Having performed its "independent check" duties, the Court finds the requested award to be both appropriate and reasonable.

Wherefore,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for attorney fees under § 406(b) (Doc. 31) is **granted**. The Court hereby authorizes \$7,450.00 in attorney fees for legal services rendered in United States District Court, to be paid by the Social Security Administration. The Martone Law Firm will then reimburse Mr. Muchmore the EAJA award of \$2,611.90 minus \$935.27 for the 7% sales tax and \$178.66 for advanced costs for medical records.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE